



Comparative analysis of soil deterioration under different land uses in southwestern Nigeria

Akinloye Florence Y¹, Adeleke Benjamin O², Akinloye Kehinde F³, Orimoogunje Oluwagbenga OI⁴

^{1,3} Department of Geography Osun State College of Education, Ilesa, Nigeria

^{2,4} Department of Geography, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26646064.2019.v1.i1a.3>

Abstract

Soil degradation associated with land use is a critical problem in Southwestern Nigeria and there is little or insufficient scientific information in assessing soil quality indicator. In this study 9 soil physical and chemical properties were measured from 5 different sampling sites covering three land use categories (arable farms, tree farms and natural forest). The quality of the soils was determined by comparing the physio-chemical parameters of soil samples from the farms with the Standard maximum allowable thresholds for agricultural lands. Two hundred (200) copies of questionnaire were administered purposively to elicit information on socio-economic status focusing on farm history and farm attributes. Analysis of Variance was used to identify the most sensitive indicators of soil quality for evaluating land use within the forest belt in Southwestern Nigeria and subsequently compare soil quality assessment using fieldwork and laboratory soil analysis method. The results indicated that soils in the study area were characterized with mean bulk density, cation exchangeable capacity that varied at $F = 0.68$, $P < 0.05$ under both arable and tree crops than the natural forest plot. Soils under arable and tree crop plots exhibited higher concentrations of exchangeable acidity, organic matter, clay, cation exchangeable capacity than the natural forest plots. Concentrations of clay and available phosphorus was also significantly different at the top soils than the subsoil ($F_C = 0.76$, $P < 0.05$) at the arable soils. Most of the soil parameters at arable plots were not significant from those of the tree crops. The study concluded that farm cultivations caused deterioration of soil properties in the study area therefore sustainable agricultural practices should be adopted in order to meet the food requirement of the teeming population.

Keywords: Agricultural land use, soil characteristics, soil deterioration, small holding

Introduction

An optimum soil condition is fundamental to successful and profitable agricultural venture while most kinds of soil can be put to industrial and urban uses, agricultural uses are very discriminating of the soil type. Thus, the prime soil of any nation or country should be reserved for agricultural uses, because different land use and management practices greatly impact soil properties (Spurgeon, Keith, Schmidt, Lammertsma, and Faber, 2013) ^[46], and knowledge of the variation in soil properties within farmland use is essential in determining production constraints related to soil nutrients. However, when there is no information on the quality of the soils occupying a piece of land and /or regulations guiding the use of land for various purposes, the best agricultural land of any nation will continue to be lost to industrial, urban and other non-agricultural uses. According to the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD), 24 billion tons of fertile soils are lost due to erosion every year, while 12 million hectares of land are degraded through drought and the encroachment of the desert (this is 23 hectares per minute) where 20 million tons of grain could have been grown (Tellen and Yerima 2018) ^[49].

Land use can be viewed as the outcome of a decision process. This process weighs all possible potential land uses and selects the uses that maximize utility to the land owner. Land quality determines the degree to which a certain tract of land can be put to certain use while land capability refers to the fitness of the land to perform a definite use (Jeje and Nabegu, 1982, Adeoye and

Agboola, 1985) ^[3]. Soil properties vary in different spatial areas due to the combined effect of biological, physical, and chemical processes over time (Santra, Chopra and Chakraborty, 2008), and can vary within farmland or at the landscape scale (Corwin *et al.*, 2003; Mouazen, Dumont, Maertens and Ramon, 2003) ^[11, 35]. Agricultural land use in Southwestern Nigeria is mostly characterized by shifting cultivation system, even though pressure on available land by both agricultural and non-agricultural sectors considerably reduces the fallow period. In view of the ever-increasing demand on limited land resources, the question most often asked is whether soil productivity in tropical rainforest ecosystem can be sustained with intensive and continuous farming. As the dynamics of Land Use/Land Cover change associated with the anthropogenic activities are occurring rapidly in tropical landscapes (Tellen and Yerima 2018) ^[49]. The available research data indicate that most tropical soils can be intensively cultivated and produced high and sustained yields by adopting ecological approach to agriculture (Leemans and Vandam Born, 1994) ^[29]. In this connection, land clearing techniques play an important role. The effects of improper land clearing methods are observed even 8-10 years after the land has been cleared and especially when the overall soil fertility has drastically declined.

The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO 2000) ^[19] rated Nigeria's soil from low to medium in productivity and concluded that most of the country's soil would have medium to good

Data Collection and Analysis

The basic data for this study were soil characteristics under varying land use type: arable land use (yam, maize and cassava), tree crops land use (cocoa, kola and citrus) and forest land use. Data on socio-economic characteristics was also collected focusing on farming problems, farming practices, farm investment and farmers' perception on farm productivity. Stratified random sampling techniques was employed in selecting two hundred (200) farmers, (this was correlated with the farm size where soil samples were collected (Table 5). The study area was gridded with a regular grid of 1 x 1 km. From which 5 grids were selected. In each of the five study sites, 20 sampling points each were selected from under tree crops, arable crops and natural forest. Ten sampling points were selected from parcel of land under yam and citrus because these two crops were so frequently encountered in this area compared to others. From each of the sampling points, soils were taken at two depths., that is, 0-15cm and 15-30cm with the aids of bucket soil auger, which was package under standard control for physio-chemical laboratory analysis at Faculty of Agriculture, O. A. U. Ile-Ife, Nigeria. This sampling scheme indicated that there were 100 sampling points from which 200 soil samples were collected from under cocoa, kola, citrus, maize and cassava (i.e. 100 top and 100 sub-soil samples). Then 10 soil samples were taken at random from under natural forest; making 20 samples i.e. 10 top and 10 sub-soils. In essence, there were 110 sampling points from which 220 soil samples were collected. Bulk density was determined by the core method (Blake, 1965) ^[10] using core sample of known volume and oven-dried the soil at 105⁰C for 72 hours until constant weight was attained. Particle size distribution was determined by the hydrometer method (Bouyoucos, 1962) ^[9] using 5% of Sodium Hexametaphosphate (Calgon) as the dispersing agent. Soil pH was determined in both distilled water and 1.0M KCl (1:1 soil solution ratio) using glass electrode pH meter (Kent model 720) after equilibration for 30 minutes. Exchangeable acidity and exchangeable aluminum were determined as described by Maclean (1965). The organic matter content of the soil was determined by the chromic acid digestion method as described by Allison (1965) ^[4]. Available phosphorus was determined by the Bray-1 method (Olsen and Dean, 1954) ^[41]. One gram of soil was shaken for 6 minutes in a mixture of 0.30N NH₄F and 0.025N HCl after which suspension was filtered.

2. Results and Discussion

Properties of Soils under Arable Crops

Table 1 shows the various soil properties under arable crops in both of topsoil and subsoil in the study area. It is evident from the analysis that the soil was loamy, silt and clay with average percentages of 81%, 13% and 5% respectively. The dominating sandy- loam texture in the area is typical of the surface horizon of soils derived from crystalline basement complex rocks which could have been responsible factor for the high sand stone/soils composition found in the area (Adegoke 1969; Jones and Wild 1975 and Mudroch *et al.*, 1976) ^[2, 26, 37]. The result also indicated that the top-soils under arable crops were moderately acidic with pH value of 5.1 – 6.0 while top-soils under cassava and sub-soil under cassava and maize were slightly acidic with pH value of 6.1-6.9. Also organic matter and available phosphorus had lower mean value when compared with the natural forest. This may be due to the intensification of agricultural activities through clearing and cleans cultivation for annual cropping and nutrients absorption by crops without replacement (Greenland, 1995) ^[21]. The observed pattern in the sub-soil seems to imply that there is little deterioration in the soil chemical properties since the mean value under yam, maize and cassava have little differences when compared with that of natural forest (Table 1). Also, it was discovered that soil deterioration observed was greater in the top soil than that of sub-soil, as this was the level where uptake of nutrients take place and environmental activities such as leaching and erosion, also important was the low clay content of the soil which reduce the nutrients holding capacity of the soil at the topsoil level. Because most savannah soils and by extension tropical soils are sandy in nature, possessing low water holding capacity due to low clay content (Jones and Wild 1975) ^[26]. There is also lower clay content in the soil under sole cropping than soil under mixed cropping due to erosion as reported in a study carried out under grain in Kano for instance (Adamu and Andmaharazz 2014) ^[8].

This decline in organic carbon is expected in the tropical environment because addition of organic residues which determine the organic matter content in the soil is low and their loss through mineralization processes are on the high side (Binns *et al.*, 2003) ^[8]. Another reason attributable for the loss of organic carbon is the intensification of agricultural activities in the area through clearing and cleans cultivation of soil for annual cropping (Greenland, 1995) ^[21]. From the foregoing the mean value of the organic content for the area is low, when compared with the accepted threshold of 34 g kg⁻¹ for soil organic matter below which decline in soil quality is expected to occur (Loveland and Webb, 2003) ^[30].

Table 1: Mean Values of Soil Properties under arable crops

Soil properties	Top soil				Sub soil			
	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D
	NF	Yam	Maize	Cassava	NF	Yam	Maize	Cassava
Sand %	81.2	81.2	81.2	81.2	75.2	93.2	83.2	87.2
Silt %	13.4	13.4	13.4	11.4	11.4	3.4	5.4	7.4
Clay	5.4	5.4	5.4	7.4	13.4	3.4	3.4	5.4
Bulk Density (g/cm ³)	0.96	1.21	1.11	1.11	1.30	1.42	1.35	1.40
pH (H ₂ O 1:10)	5.84	5.85	5.64	6.48	5.63	5.78	6.14	3.84
Total Nitrogen (g Kg ⁻¹)	6.74	4.42	3.23	2.76	2.84	0.32	6.58	7.14
Organic Matter %	3.1	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.8	1.8	1.8	1.7
Available phosphorus (mgkg ⁻¹)	27.57	7.85	7.08	28.80	30.80	23.75	24.64	25.10
Calcium (cmol Kg ⁻¹)	3.23	3.98	3.98	4.32	3.15	4.07	3.81	4.71

Magnesium (cmol kg ⁻¹)	2.85	2.59	2.83	3.40	2.42	3.05	2.70	3.78
Potassium (cmol kg ⁻¹)	0.51	0.59	0.51	0.67	0.47	0.62	0.49	0.66
Sodium (cmol Kg ⁻¹)	0.55	0.76	0.70	0.86	0.46	0.79	0.50	0.45
Exchangeable acidity (cmol Kg ⁻¹)	0.32	0.48	0.32	0.32	0.32	1.12	0.40	0.40
Cation Exchange Capability (cmol Kg ⁻¹)	7.14	7.92	8.02	9.25	7.50	8.53	7.50	9.60

NF means Natural Forest.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) test showed that only the main values of total Nitrogen and exchangeable acidity were significantly different (P0.05) in the sub-soil (Table 3). The outcome of this analysis could therefore be interpreted to mean that all crops have had impact on the soil total Nitrogen and exchangeable acidity.

Properties of Soils under Tree Crops

Top Soils under natural forest and tree crops production were loamy sand, but those under citrus production were sandy loam. The texture of the top-soils from tree crops was similar and was sandy loam. The soil under the tree crops are slightly acidic with pH value of 6.1 – 6.9 while that of natural forest remained moderately acidic which means that these crops made soil to become more acidic. Though the level of acidity in a soil is often determined by several factors which may include; the soil parent material, removal of basic cations from the surface of the soil through leaching to the lower depth (Mustapha and Loks, 2005; Voncir *et al.*, 2008; Kolo *et al.* 2009), and high aluminum (Al) content, which is also known to be one major cause of high acidity and low fertility in the tropics (McLean, 2003; Pavan, 1983; Coscione *et al.* 1998) [33]. It was observed that organic matter, Nitrogen, available phosphorus, Calcium, Magnesium, Potassium and Sodium have similar pattern in the top soils and the mean values under the tree crops had little differences when compared with the natural forest. Trees can improve the nutrient

balance of soil by reducing unproductive nutrient losses from erosion and leaching and by increasing nutrient inputs through nitrogen fixation and increase biological activities by providing biomass and suitable microclimate (Schroth and Sinclair, 2003) [44]. It was also evident that soil chemical properties were almost the same in soils under tree crops because the chemical properties had their greater values but soil samples under citrus had the least mean values. The observed pattern in the tree crops indicated that soil under citrus had the lower fertility than soil under Cocoa and Kola. It was therefore, clear that there is variation in soil deterioration under Cocoa, Kola and Citrus. This may be so as trees are known to ensured preferential nutrients enrichment of soils in term of Ca, Mg, Na, P and N (Sunita and Uma 1993) [48]. Also the improved soil fertility under cocoa/kola could probably be attributed to the high level of organic matter content maintained under the trees through the accumulation of litters (Ogunkunle and Awotoye, 2011) [7]. Bernhard-Reversat and Loumeto (2002) [6] affirmed that the most relevant parameter for soil organic matter binding-up could be the amount of standing litter on the soil which integrates litter fall and decomposition and also essential in the soil aggregate stability (Mbah *et al.*, 2007; Emadi *et al.*, 2008) [32, 17].

Table 3 and 4 indicate that certain soil properties vary significantly under tree crops in both top-soil and sub-soil as revealed by (ANOVA) technique.

Table 2: Mean Values of soil Properties under Tree crops

Soil properties	Top soil				Sub soil			
	A	B	C	D	A	B	C	D
	NF	Yam	Maize	Cassava	Nf	Yam	Maize	Cassava
Sand %	81.2	81.2	81.2	81.2	75.2	93.2	83.2	87.2
Silt %	13.4	13.4	13.4	11.4	11.4	3.4	5.4	7.4
Clay	5.4	5.4	5.4	7.4	13.4	3.4	3.4	5.4
Bulk Density (g/cm ³)	0.96	1.21	1.11	1.11	1.30	1.42	1.35	1.40
pH (H ₂ O 1:10)	5.84	5.85	5.64	6.48	5.63	5.78	6.14	3.84
Total Nitrogen (g Kg ⁻¹)	6.74	4.42	3.23	2.76	2.84	0.32	6.58	7.14
Organic Matter %	3.1	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.8	1.8	1.8	1.7
Available phosphorus(mgkg ⁻¹)	27.57	7.85	7.08	28.80	30.80	23.75	24.64	25.10
Calcium (cmol Kg ⁻¹)	3.23	3.98	3.98	4.32	3.15	4.07	3.81	4.71
Magnesium (cmol kg ⁻¹)	2.85	2.59	2.83	3.40	2.42	3.05	2.70	3.78
Potassium (cmol kg ⁻¹)	0.51	0.59	0.51	0.67	0.47	0.62	0.49	0.66
Sodium (cmol Kg ⁻¹)	0.55	0.76	0.70	0.86	0.46	0.79	0.50	0.45
Exchangeable acidity (cmol Kg ⁻¹)	0.32	0.48	0.32	0.32	0.32	1.12	0.40	0.40
Cation Exchange Capability (cmol Kg ⁻¹)	7.46	9.14	8.05	7.02	6.50	8.46	6.61	7.43

NF means Natural Forest

Table 3: Analysis of variance test between samples under arable crop and natural forest

Soil Properties	ANOVA	
	Top Soil	Sub Soil
Sand	NS	NS
Silt	NS	NS
Clay	NS	NS
Bulk Density	NS	NS

Ph	NS	NS
Total Nitrogen	S	S
Organic Matter	NS	NS
Available Phosphorus	NS	NS
Calcium	NS	NS
Magnesium	NS	NS
Potassium	NS	NS
Sodium	NS	NS
Exchangeable Cation	S	S
Exchangeable Capacity	NS	NS

S = Significant NS = Not Significant (The Level of significant is 0.05 or 5%)

Table 4: The average means of soil properties under arable crops and natural forest

Soil Properties	Anova	
	Top Soil Mean Values	Sub Soil Mean Values
Sand (%)	81.2	66.2
Silt (%)	12.9	6.4
Clay (%)	5.9	6.4
Bulk Density (g/cm)	1.09	1.37
Ph	5.95	6.09
Total Nitrogen (%)	4.29	4.22
Organic Matter (%)	2.3	1.33
Available Phosphorus (ppm)	17.83	26.07
Calcium (cmol/Kg)	3.88	3.94
Magnesium (cmol/kg)	2.92	2.99
Potassium (cmol/Kg)	0.57	0.56
Sodium (cmol/kg)	0.72	0.55
Exchangeable acidity (cmol/kg)	0.36	0.56
Effective Cation Exchange Capacity (ECEC) (cmol/kg)	8.44	8.59

(The level of significance was 5%)

Table 5: The average means of soil properties under arable crops and natural forest

Soil Properties	Anova	
	Top Soil Mean Values	Sub Soil Mean Values
Sand (%)	78.7	70.7
Silt (%)	11.4	15.9
Clay (%)	5.4	13.7
Bulk Density (g/cm)	1.12	1.29
pH	6.37	6.14
Total Nitrogen (%)	3.62	4.55
Organic Matter (%)	2.98	1.78
Available Phosphorus (ppm)	30.15	26.25
Calcium (cmol/Kg)	3.58	3.37
Magnesium (cmol/kg)	3.03	2.85
Potassium (cmol/Kg)	0.55	0.48
Sodium (cmol/kg)	0.62	0.49
Exchangeable acidity (cmol/kg)	0.3	0.49
Effective Cation Exchange Capacity (ECEC) (cmol/kg)	7.77	0.28

(The level of significance was 5%)

Socio-Economic Analysis

It is evidenced that 89.9% of the farmers fell between the ages of 35-49 years while mean age was 42 years. The dominance of people within the active age in farming was an indication that farming activities may be high in this area. This is the age range at which people tend to have a lot of energy for labor-intensive ventures. The analysis of the sex of the farmers shows that 78.7% are Male. This means that farming in the area is Male dominated and is consistent with what is known about Southwestern Nigeria. The findings also indicated that the majority of the respondents (67.5 percent) were married while very small proportions were

unmarried. This may also suggest greater use of the agricultural land to meet large demand. When more is required from the land as outputs, farmers will be concerned to get the most from the land using various methods of land use management known to them. A high proportion of the farmers (79.7 percent) had completed one form of formal schooling, while a little over one-fifth (20.3 percent) of the respondents had no formal education. On general consideration, however, the level of education of the respondents could be described as moderately high 79.7 percent while only 20.3 percent never attended any form of formal

education. This outcome has a lot of benefits. It means for example that a great proportion of the farmers were likely to

respond positively to adoption of practices that can boost agricultural products.

Table 6: Distribution of Selected farmers by farm size

Farm size In Hectares percentage	Tree crops n = 100 Percentage	Arable crops N = 100 Percentages	Total N = 200 Percentage
< 3	38	40	39.0
3 – 5	26	24	25.0
6 – 8	20	19	19.5
9 – 11	9	10	9.5
12 – 15	1	5	5.5
Above 15	1	2	1.5
Total	100	100	100

Source: Field Work (2015).

Table 6 showed that almost half of the respondents had between 3-9 hectares of land. Only 1.5 percent of the farmers had a total farmland size of about 15 hectares. The findings revealed that all the farmers with less than 3 hectares of farmland have their farms in one place. Such farmers are less likely to be involved in planting tree crops. They cannot also practice bush fallow because all their land is needed all the time for food crop production. More respondents that engaged in arable crops

farming 40 percent had farm sizes less than 3 hectares, while 62 percent of farmers that engaged in tree crops holdings had farm sizes greater than 3 hectares. The findings also revealed that more farmers that engaged in tree crops cultivated 3-8 hectares (46 percent) than farmers in those that engage in Arable crops (43 percent). This also has implications on the ability of farmers to effectively manage the land to meet the demand for food crop production.

Table 7: Suggestions on how to Solve Farming problems

	SA	A	U	D	SD
Land management can only be practices by farmer	26	32.5	16.5	26	9
Water loss due to run off is prevented by mulching	34.5	22	20	16.5	10
There should be adequate information on land management	32	27	16.5	14.5	10
Crop rotation improves the soil texture	14	33	32.5	14	6.5
Farmers would engage in irrigation if given advise and other inputs	27	35.5	16.5	15.5	5.5
Drainage prevents water logging in the soil	16.5	29	24	21.5	9
Planting leguminous crop improves the soil fertility	17	24.5	38.5	16.5	3.5
Land management practices are very important for the benefit of future generation and to prevent soil deterioration and environment degradation	24	29.5	21	19	6.5
Bush fallow maintains soil fertility	22.5	24.5	25	17	11
Mulching reduces evaporation	25	32.5	22.5	21.5	9

Source: Field Work (2015)

The suggestions were measured by employing attitudinal scale of the form summated rating/likert scale (Gomez and Jones III 2010, Kumar 2011) [20, 28] with response categories ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree. The farmers indicated which of the statement best describes their opinion with respect to land management practices. Ten statements were presented to farmers their reactions were presented in table 6 showing suggestions score. From the table 29.5 agreed that land management practices are very important for the benefit of future generation and to prevent soil deterioration and environment degradation, 24 strongly agreed with the same notion while 21 were undecided/indifferent to the notion of preventing soil deterioration through land management. Still from the table 32.5 agreed that land management can only be practices by farmer, 26 farmers strongly agreed while 16.5 were indifferent to the course of farmer being solely responsible for land management. Also 32 strongly agreed that there should be adequate information on land management, 27 agreed while 16.5 were undecided whether there is need for the availability of information on land management. From the foregoing it is clear that the place of management in soil management cannot be over emphasized and that it is everybody

concerns as expressed by the farmers interviewed. Even the authors cannot agreed less with the farmers as land/soil status/health is measured using its rate of productivity and can be classified into five different classes; very high productivity, high productivity, medium productivity, low productivity, and very low productivity. According to this rating the Nigeria soils are found to be of medium to high potentials (Nigeria Country Profile 1997), thus necessitated urgent and sustained need for soil management to prevent unimaginable soil deterioration. As Nigeria is included among the countries with high declining soil fertility (FAO 2001) [19], and was estimated to be losing an average of 24 kg nutrients/ha per year (10 kg N; 4 kg P₂O₅, 10 kg K₂O) in 1990 and 48 kg nutrients/ha per year in 2000, that is, a loss equivalent to 100 kg fertilizers/ha per year.

4. Conclusion

This study has revealed that when natural forest is opened to any kind of cultivation, the soil properties deteriorate. The results of comparing the means value of soil properties under agricultural land use with those under natural forest revealed this. The statistical method of Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) used

revealed that all the arable crops have had similar impact on the soils and so were all the tree crops on the soils. It was noted that certain soil properties vary significantly under tree crops in both top and sub-soil. These include top soil cation exchangeable capacity. Sub-soil total nitrogen, sub-soil silt, top soil and sub-soil clay and organic matter. It was also observed that soil chemical properties were almost the same in soils under Cocoa and Kola because the chemical properties had their greater values but soil samples under citrus had the least mean values with regard to chemical properties of the soil.

To prevent further deterioration and degradation of land, farmer's use of sustainable land management practices has become an important factor which will ensure increase agricultural productivity, protect the land and recycle the soil nutrients. It is hereby recommended that application of plant residues which is known to have multi-benefit effects in maintaining the good soil physical condition should be encouraged. There is the need to establish land management information center for proper dissemination of information on land management practices to farmers in order to help them in the aspect of soil management. It is also recommended that existing agro-services centers should be equipped to handle analysis of soil samples for farmers. Also Economic and social assistance should be provided to farmers to purchase farm inputs and this will enhance high use of land management practices.

5. References

- Adamu GK, Andmaharaz AY. A Comparative Study of Changes in Soil Fertility Under two Farming Practices in the Kano Close-Settled Zone, *European Scientific Journal*. 2014; 10(2):313-323.
- Adegoke OS. Eocene stratigraphy of Southwestern Nigeria. *Bureau Recherches Geologiques Miners Memoirs*. 1969; 3:23-48.
- Adeoye GO, Agboola AA. Critical levels for soil pH, available P, K, Zn and Mn maize content of P, Cu and Mn in sedimentary soils of south – western Nigeria. *Fertilizer Res*. 1985; 6:65-71.
- Allison E. Organic carbom. In: Black CA, *et al.* (eds). *Methods of soil analysis. Part 2. American Society of Agronomy*, Madison, 1965, 1367-1378.
- Aweto AO. Organic carbon diminution and estimates of carbon dioxide release from plantation soil. *Environmentalist*. 1995; 15:10-15.
- Bernhard Reversat F, Loumeto JJ. The litter system in African forest-tree plantation. In: Reddy MV (Ed.). *Management of tropical plantation, forest and their soil litter system*. Science Publishing Inc, New Hampshire, USA, 2002
- Ogunkunle CO, Awotoye OO. Soil Fertility Status under Different Tree Cropping System in a Southwestern Zone of Nigeria. *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*. 2011; 3(2):123-128.
- Binns JA, Machonachie RA, Tanko AI. Water, Land and Health in Urban and Peri-urban food production: The case Kano, Nigeria; www.cityfarmer.org. In Adamu G. K Andmaharaz A. Y (2014) A Comparative Study of Changes in Soil Fertility Under Two Farming Practices in the Kano Close-Settled Zone, *European Scientific Journal*. 2003; 10(2):313-323.
- Bouyoucos GJ. Hydrometer method improved for making participle size analysis of soils. *Soil Science Society of America Proceedings*. Madison. 1962; 26:464-465.
- Blake GR. Bulk Density, In: Black C.A. *Methods of Soil Analysis*. Vol. I A.S.A., Madison, Wisconsin, 1965, 374-390.
- Corwin DL, Kffka SR, Hopmans JW, Mori Y, van Groenigen JW, *et al.* Assessment and Field-Scale Mapping of Soil Quality Properties of a Saline–Sodic Soil. *Geoderma*. 2003; 114:231-259.
- Panday D Ojha, Chalise RB, *et al.* Spatial Variability of Soil Properties under Different Land use in the Dang district of Nepal. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*. 2019; 5:1600460.
- Ekanade O. Small scale cocoa farmers and environmental changes in tropical rainforest region of South-Western Nigeria. *Journal of Environment Management*. 1985; 25:61-70.
- Ekanade O. The Nutrient status of soils under peanut cocoa Farms of varying ages in Southwestern Nigeria. *Biological Agriculture and Horticulture*. 1988; 5:155-167.
- Ekanade RO. Hill-Slope Agro-Ecosystem and their implications on Environmental System in Rural Southwestern Nigeria. *Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment*. 1997; 61:92-102.
- Salami AT, Orimoogunje OOI. *Environmental Research and Challenges of Sustainable Development in Nigeria*, Obafemi Awolowo University Press, Ile-Ife Nigeria, 2011, 31-62.
- Emadi MM, Baghernejad G, Emadi M. Effect of shifting cultivation on distribution of nutrient elements and carbohydrates within water-stable aggregates in Northern Iran. *Pak J Biol Sci*. 2008; 11:195-201.
- FAO. Land Resources Evaluation and the role of Land-related indicators. In W.G Sombroek. *Land Quality Indicator and Their Uses in Sustainable Agriculture and Rural Development*. Land and Water Bulletin No. 5 Rome, 1997.
- FAO. Soil Fertility Management in Support of Food security in Sub-Saharan African, 2001. Available on <ftp://ftp.fao.org/agl/agll/docs/foodsec.pdf>
- Gomez B, Jones III JP. *Research Methods in Geography: A Critical Introduction*. John Wiley and Sons Ltd. 2010; 1:50-52
- Greenland DJ. Long-Term Cropping Experiments in Developing Countries: The Need, The History, and Future. In: J. C. Waterlow, D. G. Armstrong, L. Fowden and R. Riley (eds.). *Feeding the World Population of more than Eight Billion people: A Challenge to Science*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1995.
- Mulima IM, Ismaila M, Benisheikh KM, Saminu I. Assessment of Micronutrients Status of Soils under Millet Cultivation in Geidam Local Government Yobe State, Nigeria, *Asian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*. 2015; 2(2):32-41. www.multidisciplinaryjournals.com
- Hălbac Cotoară Zamfir R, Keesstra S, Kalantari Z. The impact of political, socio-economic and cultural factors on implementing environment friendly techniques for sustainable land management and climate change mitigation in Romania. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2019; 654:418-429. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.160.
- Panday D Ojha, Chalise RB, Saurav D, *et al.* Spatial Variability of Soil Properties under Different Land use in the

- Dang district of Nepal. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*. 2019; 5:1600460.
25. Jeje LK, Nabegu H. Sediment Yield in response to rainstorms and land use in small drainage basins in Ife Area of Southwestern Nigeria, *Nigeria Geographical Journal*. 1982; 25(1-2):87-103.
 26. Jones MJ, Wild A. *Soils of the West African Savanna commonwealth Agriculture*, Burcaux, Herpenden England, 1975, 246.
 27. Mulima IM, Ismaila M, Benisheikh KM, Saminu I. Assessment Of Micronutrients Status Of Soils Under Millet Cultivation In Geidam Local Government Yobe State, Nigeria, *Asian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*. 2015; 2 (2): 32-41. www.Multidisciplinaryjournals.com
 28. Kumar R. *Research methodology: step by step approach for beginners*.sage publication ltd. 2011; 3:167-175.
 29. Leemans R, Van dam Born GJ. Determining the Potential Global Distribution of Natural Vegetation, Crops and Agricultural Productivity. *Nat. Air Soil Pollution*. 1994; 76:133-161.
 30. Loveland P, Webb J. Is there a critical level of Organic Matter in the Agricultural Soils of Temperate Regions: A review. *Soil and Tillage Res*. 2003; 70(1):1-18.
 31. Joachim HJR Makoi, Ndakidemi PA. Selected Chemical Properties of Soil in the Traditional Irrigation Schemes of the Mbulu District, Tanzania. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2008; 3(5):348-356. <http://www.academicjournals.org/AJAR>
 32. Mbah CN, Anikwe MAN, Onweremadu EU, Mbagwu JSC. Soil organic matter and carbohydrate content of a dystric leptosol under organic waster management and their role in structural stability of soil aggregates. *Int J Soil Sci*. 2007; 2:268-277.
 33. Mclean EO. Aluminum. In Black, C.A. (Ed.) (1965) *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 2. Chemical Methods*. Madison: Asa, P.978-998. In Cassio Hamilton Abreu Jr.; Takashi Muraoka; André Fernando Lavorante (2003) *Exchangeable Aluminum Evaluation In Acid Soils*; *Scientia Agricola*. 2003; 60(3):543-548.
 34. MOAD. *Statistical Information on Nepalese Agriculture 2015/16*. Government of Nepal, Ministry Of Agricultural Development, Singhdurbar, Kathmandu, Nepal. In Panday, D. Ojha, R.B, Chalise, D. Saurav Das and Twanabasu, B, (2019). *Spatial Variability of Soil Properties under Different Land use in the Dang district of Nepal*. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*. 2017; 5:1600460.
 35. Mouazen AM, Dumont K, Maertens K, Ramon H. Two dimensional prediction of spatial variation in topsoil compaction of a sandy loam field based on measured horizontal force of compaction sensor, cutting depth and moisture content. *Soil Till Res*. 2003; 74:91-102.
 36. Panday D Ojha, Chalise RB, Saurav Das D, *et al*. *Spatial Variability of Soil Properties under Different Land use in the Dang district of Nepal*. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*. 2019; 5:1600460.
 37. Mudroch *et al*. *Soils of The Western State Savnna in Nigeria*. Vol. 1. The Environment Land Resources Division, Ministry of Overseas Development. Tolworth Tower, Surbiton, England KT6 7DY. In Nwachokor, M.A and Uzu, F.O. (2008). *Updated Classification of Some Soil Series in Southwestern Nigeria*, *Journal of Agronomy*. 1976; 7(1):76-81
 38. National Population Commission (NPC), Federal republic of Nigeria official gazette, Lagos, 2006, 96(2).
 39. Nigeria Country Profile. Implementation of Agenda 21: Review of Progress Made since the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, 1992.
 40. Ogunkunle CO, Awotoye OO. Soil Fertility Status under Different Tree Cropping System in a Southwestern Zone of Nigeria. *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*. 2011; 3(2):123-128.
 41. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available Phosphorus in Soils by extraction with Sodium bicarbonate. U.S. Dep. of Agric. Circ. 1954, 939.
 42. Panday D, Maharjan B, Chalise D, Shrestha RK, Twanabasu B. Digital soil mapping in the Bara District of Nepal using Kriging tool in ArcGIS. *PLoS one*. 2018; 13(10):e0206350. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0206350.
 43. Panday D Ojha, Chalise RB, *et al*. *Spatial Variability of Soil Properties under Different Land use in the Dang district of Nepal*. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*. 2019; 5:1600460.
 44. Schroth G, Sinclair FL. *Trees, crops and Soil fertility concepts and research methods*. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, 2003.
 45. Ogunkunle CO, Awotoye OO. Soil Fertility Status under Different Tree Cropping System in a Southwestern Zone of Nigeria. *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*. 2011; 3(2):123-128.
 46. Spurgeon DJ, Keith AM, Schmidt O, *et al*. Land-use and land-management change: Relationships with earthworm and fungi communities and soil structural properties. *BMC Ecology*. 2013; 13(1):46.
 47. Panday D Ojha, Chalise RB, Saurav Das D, *et al*. *Spatial Variability of Soil Properties under Different Land use in the Dang district of Nepal*. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*. 2019; 5:1600460.
 48. Sunita M, Uma M. *Environment and Agro-forestry*. Indian Farmers Digest. 1993; 25(3):29-30.
 49. Tellen VA, Yerima Bernard PK. Effects of land use change on soil physicochemical properties in selected areas in the North West region of Cameroon. *Environmental systems research*. 2018; 7(3):1-29.