



ISSN Print: 2664-6064
 ISSN Online: 2664-6072
 NAAS Rating: 4.69
 IJAN 2025; 7(7): 07-10
www.agriculturejournal.net
 Received: 10-05-2025
 Accepted: 20-06-2025

Aditi Vohra
 Doctoral Researcher,
 Department of Home Science,
 Kurukshetra University,
 Kurukshetra, Haryana, India

Effect of agronomic practices on the prebiotic fiber content of crops and their implications for gut microbiota and immunity

Aditi Vohra

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26646064.2025.v7.i7a.233>

Abstract

Prebiotic fibers such as inulin, fructooligosaccharides (FOS), galactooligosaccharides (GOS), resistant starch, and arabinoxylans play a vital role in maintaining gut health and immune function by promoting beneficial gut microbiota and producing short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs). This paper examines the influence of agronomic practices including fertilization, irrigation, crop rotation, tillage, and organic farming on the prebiotic fiber content in crops and the resulting effects on human health. Evidence shows that sustainable practices can enhance fiber biosynthesis and soil microbial diversity, enriching crops with health-promoting fibers. These prebiotics modulate microbiota composition, increase SCFA production (notably butyrate), and regulate immune pathways, including T-regulatory cell development and inflammation control. However, variability in individual microbiota composition and gaps in interdisciplinary research hinder consistent outcomes. Future directions emphasize the need for biofortification, breeding fiber-rich crops, standardizing fiber quantification, and integrating agronomic inputs into nutritional policy to achieve personalized, health-driven agricultural systems.

Keywords: Prebiotic fibers, agronomic practices, gut microbiota, immune modulation, short-chain fatty acids

1. Introduction

Prebiotic fibers are non-digestible dietary carbohydrates that have garnered increasing scientific attention due to their critical role in modulating gut microbiota and enhancing immune function ^[1,2]. These fibers including inulin, fructooligosaccharides (FOS), galactooligosaccharides (GOS), resistant starch and arabinoxylans are not hydrolysed in the upper gastrointestinal tract but are fermented by colonic microbiota, leading to the production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) such as butyrate, acetate and propionate ^[3, 4]. These SCFAs play multifaceted roles in maintaining colonic epithelial integrity, regulating inflammatory responses, and supporting systemic immune homeostasis ^[5].

Recent research has established that the nutritional quality and prebiotic fiber content of crops are not solely genetically determined but are also significantly influenced by agronomic practices ^[6, 7]. These practices including nutrient management (e.g., Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium and organic fertilization), irrigation strategies, crop rotation, conservation tillage and microbial-rich soil amendments affect plant carbohydrate metabolism, root development and fiber biosynthesis ^[8, 9]. For instance, organic farming improves soil microbial biodiversity, which can enhance nutrient cycling and fiber accumulation in root vegetables and legumes ^[10].

Moreover, the increasing shift toward sustainable plant-based diets necessitates a greater understanding of how farming systems can be optimized not only for yield but also for the nutritional and functional quality of food. By focusing on fiber-rich and microbiota-supportive crops, modern agriculture has the potential to contribute meaningfully to both environmental sustainability and population health ^[11]. This paper reviews the intersection of agronomic practices and prebiotic fiber biosynthesis, highlighting their downstream effects on gut microbial diversity, SCFA production and immune regulation. In addition to outlining the benefits, it identifies existing research gaps and calls for a systems-level approach that combines agronomic innovation, nutritional science and microbiome-based health strategies.

Corresponding Author:
Aditi Vohra
 Doctoral Researcher,
 Department of Home Science,
 Kurukshetra University,
 Kurukshetra, Haryana, India

2. Understanding Prebiotic Fibers: Prebiotic fibers are selectively fermented dietary components that beneficially affect host health by promoting the growth and activity of specific gut microbiota. Their functional role extends beyond mere digestion they are critical modulators of host immunity, gut barrier integrity and microbial balance. Among the most studied types:

- Fructooligosaccharides (FOS) and Inulin are naturally occurring oligosaccharides found in chicory root, garlic, onions, asparagus, and bananas. These compounds resist upper GI digestion and selectively stimulate the growth of *Bifidobacterium* and *Faecal bacterium* species, which are linked to anti-inflammatory outcomes and metabolic balance [1, 2].
- Galactooligosaccharides (GOS) occur naturally in legumes and dairy products and are particularly effective at enhancing *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* populations. GOS has been associated with improved gut barrier function, better bowel regularity, and immune modulation [2].

- Resistant Starch (RS) is found in cereals like wheat and barley, and in tubers such as potatoes and unripe bananas. It escapes digestion in the small intestine and is fermented in the colon, primarily by *Ruminococcus bromii*, producing SCFAs such as butyrate [12].
- β -Glucan and Xylo oligosaccharides (XOS) are found in oats, barley, and other whole grains. β -Glucan has cholesterol-lowering effects and immune-modulatory benefits, while XOS supports the growth of *Bacteroidetes* and *Firmicutes*, contributing to microbial homeostasis [13].

Upon fermentation in the colon, these fibers yield SCFAs (especially butyrate, acetate, and propionate), which modulate intestinal pH, improve epithelial health, and influence both innate and adaptive immunity [3, 4]. Table 1 below summarizes the major types of prebiotic fibers, their sources, microbial targets, health effects.

Table 1: Types of Prebiotic Fibers, Sources, Microbial Targets and Health Effects

Prebiotic Fiber	Natural Sources	Microbial Targets	Health Effects	Key References
FOS / Inulin	Chicory root, garlic, onion, banana, asparagus	<i>Bifidobacterium</i> , <i>Faecal bacterium</i>	Anti-inflammatory, improves metabolic balance, gut barrier function	[1,2]
GOS	Legumes, dairy products	<i>Bifidobacterium</i> , <i>Lactobacillus</i>	Supports bowel regularity, immunity, gut flora balance	[3]
Resistant Starch (RS)	Wheat, barley, potato, green banana	<i>Ruminococcus bromii</i> , <i>Clostridium spp.</i>	Enhances SCFA production (butyrate), improves colon health	[12]
β -Glucan / XOS	Oats, barley, whole grains	<i>Lactobacillus</i> , <i>Firmicutes</i> , <i>Bacteroidetes</i>	Lowers cholesterol, improves microbial balance	[13]

3. Agronomic Practices and Prebiotic Fiber Content: The quantity and quality of prebiotic fibers in crops are not only species-dependent but are also shaped by specific

agronomic decisions. These relationships are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: Agronomic Practices and Their Effect on Prebiotic Fiber Content

Agronomic Practice	Effect on Prebiotic Fiber Content	Key References
Fertilization	Balanced NPK fertilizers enhance carbohydrate metabolism, leading to increased fiber synthesis. Organic manure improves soil microbial activity and structure for better fiber biosynthesis.	[6, 7, 8]
Irrigation	Optimal irrigation supports healthy plant metabolism and fiber accumulation. Mild water stress can upregulate osmoprotectant compounds like inulin and raffinose.	[9]
Crop Variety & Breeding	Genetically improved varieties can have elevated concentrations of prebiotic fibers like inulin, resistant starch, and soluble fibers.	[9]
Soil Health Management	Composting, vermicomposting, and cover cropping increase soil organic matter and microbial diversity, enhancing nutrient cycling and fiber biosynthesis.	[14, 15]
Tillage & Crop Rotation	Minimal tillage preserves mycorrhizal networks, supporting microbial symbiosis. Legume-based rotations enhance soil nitrogen and promote carbohydrate allocation in crops.	[16]

NPK = Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium; SCFAs = Short-Chain Fatty Acids.

4. Implications for Gut Microbiota

The fermentation of prebiotic fibers influences gut microbial composition by selectively promoting beneficial taxa:

- Inulin and FOS foster the proliferation of *Bifidobacteria* and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, which are key butyrate producers. However, the effectiveness varies among individuals due to pre-existing microbiota profiles [17].
- Resistant Starch supports the growth of primary degraders like *Ruminococcus bromii* and *Clostridium chartatabidum*, which efficiently convert starch into SCFAs, primarily butyrate critical for colonic health [18, 19].

These microbial shifts lead to the production of SCFAs, which not only fuel colonocytes but also maintain mucosal pH and regulate the gut-brain axis. However, the gut microbiome is highly individualized, and inter-personal variability may influence clinical outcomes, suggesting the need for personalized nutrition strategies [20, 21].

5. Immune Health Outcomes

SCFAs exert wide-ranging effects on immune function via multiple mechanisms:

- **T-regulatory (T-reg) Cells:** Butyrate induces T-reg cell development through histone acetylation pathways,

helping maintain immune tolerance and preventing autoimmunity^[5].

- **Anti-inflammatory Pathways:** SCFAs inhibit histone deacetylases and suppress nuclear factor- κ B activation, leading to reduced secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and TNF- α ^[22].
- **Mucosal Immunity:** Butyrate and acetate enhance intestinal epithelial tight junction integrity and mucin production, thereby limiting bacterial translocation and enhancing local immunity^[23].

These effects contribute to lowered risk of allergic diseases, autoimmune conditions, and even systemic infections. However, dysbiosis, antibiotic overuse, and Western diets can reduce microbial responsiveness to prebiotics, limiting their effectiveness^[24, 25].

6. Challenges and Research Gaps

Despite emerging evidence, several barriers limit the practical application of agronomic strategies for human health:

- **Lack of Integrated Datasets:** Most current research remains compartmentalized, focusing either on plant physiology or human microbiota. There is a need for longitudinal studies that link agricultural inputs with measurable health outcomes^[26, 27].
- **Need for Interdisciplinary Frameworks:** Bridging the fields of agronomy, nutrition, and microbiome research requires robust collaborations and the use of omics technologies (metagenomics, metabolomics) to track changes from soil to human health^[28].
- **Methodological Standardization:** Currently, no universal standards exist for quantifying specific fiber types in crops or assessing their fermentability. This limits meta-analyses and global dietary guideline integration^[29, 30].

7. Recommendations and Future Directions

To unlock the full potential of agronomic interventions for gut and immune health, the following steps are essential:

- **Agronomic Innovation:** Encourage adoption of sustainable techniques such as organic farming, intercropping, and composting to improve the prebiotic quality of crops while supporting environmental resilience^[11, 31].
- **Prebiotic-Oriented Breeding:** Support breeding programs that focus on fiber traits through genomic and phenotypic screening. Marker-assisted selection can help fast-track the development of fiber-dense cultivars^[32].
- **Policy Reform and Incentives:** Governments and institutions should promote nutrition-sensitive agriculture through subsidies, public-private research initiatives, and community education campaigns^[33].
- **Dietary Framework Integration:** National dietary guidelines should begin to incorporate prebiotic fiber metrics and agronomic variability. Encouraging high-fiber, plant-based diets could bridge agriculture and public health^[34].

8. Conclusion

Agronomic practices significantly shape the prebiotic content of crops, with downstream effects on gut microbiota

and immune health. Sustainable agriculture, including biofortification and soil-friendly techniques, can increase fiber yields and enhance public health outcomes by enriching diets with functionally beneficial compounds. To maximize these benefits, an integrated, systems-level approach is needed—one that combines insights from agriculture, nutrition, and microbiome science. Future research should focus on developing crop varieties rich in specific prebiotics, refining microbiome-based dietary personalization strategies, and implementing supportive policies that encourage sustainable, health-oriented food systems^[35].

9. References

1. Carlson J, Erickson J, Lloyd B, Slavin JL. Health effects and sources of prebiotic dietary fiber. *Curr Dev Nutr*. 2018;2(3):nzy005. doi:10.1093/cdn/nzy005
2. Davani-Davari D, Negahdaripour M, Karimzadeh I, Seifan M, Mohkam M, Masoumi SJ, *et al*. Prebiotics: definition, types, sources, mechanisms, and clinical applications. *Foods*. 2019;8(3):92. doi:10.3390/foods8030092
3. Vinelli V, Biscotti P, Martini D, Bo CD, Marino M, Meroño T, *et al*. Effects of dietary fibers on short-chain fatty acids and gut microbiota composition in healthy adults: a systematic review. *Nutrients*. 2022;14(13):2559. doi:10.3390/nu14132559
4. Yoo S, Jung SC, Kwak K, Kim JS. The role of prebiotics in modulating gut microbiota: implications for human health. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2024;25(9):4834. doi:10.3390/ijms25094834
5. Schiweck C, Thanarajah SE, Aichholzer M, Matura S, Reif A, Vrieze E, *et al*. Regulation of CD4+ and CD8+ T cell biology by short-chain fatty acids and its relevance for autoimmune pathology. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2022;23(15):8272. doi:10.3390/ijms23158272
6. Wu C, Wu B, Lin S, Chen TH, You X, Wang S. Fertilization enhances rice productivity by promoting phosphorus uptake and altering soil microbiota. *Environ Res Commun*. 2024;6(2):025008. doi:10.1088/2515-7620/ad2a91
7. Singh AK, Roy ML, Ghorai AK. Optimize fertilizer use management through soil health assessment: saves money and the environment. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci*. 2020;9(6):359-368. doi:10.20546/ijcmas.2020.906.042
8. Xing Y, Li Y, Zhang F. Appropriate application of organic fertilizer can effectively improve soil environment and increase maize yield in Loess Plateau. *Agronomy*. 2024;14(5):993. doi:10.3390/agronomy14050993
9. Vandemark GJ, Thavarajah S, Siva N, Thavarajah D. Genotype and environment effects on prebiotic carbohydrate concentrations in Kabuli chickpea cultivars and breeding lines grown in the U.S. Pacific Northwest. *Front Plant Sci*. 2020;11:112. doi:10.3389/fpls.2020.00112
10. Singaray MS, Chandrasekaran A, Balasundaram D, Veerasamy VS, Neethirajan V, Thilagar S. Prebiotics: comprehensive analysis of sources, structural characteristics and mechanistic roles in disease regulation. *Microb Pathog*. 2024;186:107071. doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2024.107071

11. Roberts DP, Mattoo AK. Sustainable crop production systems and human nutrition. *Front Sustain Food Syst.* 2019;3:72. doi:10.3389/fsufs.2019.00072
12. Ashaolu TJ, Ashaolu JO, Adeyeye SAO. Fermentation of prebiotics by human colonic microbiota *in vitro* and short-chain fatty acids production: a critical review. *J Appl Microbiol.* 2021;131(2):543-557. doi:10.1111/jam.14843
13. Poeker SA, Geirnaert A, Berchtold L, Greppi A, Krych L, Steinert RE, *et al.* Understanding the prebiotic potential of different dietary fibers using an *in vitro* continuous adult fermentation model (PolyFermS). *Sci Rep.* 2018;8:22438. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-22438-y
14. Hu J, Jin VL, Konkel JYM, Schaeffer SM, Schneider LG, DeBruyn JM. Soil health management enhances microbial nitrogen cycling capacity and activity. *mSphere.* 2021;6(1):e01237-20. doi:10.1128/mSphere.01237-20
15. Lekberg Y, McLeod ML, Bullington L, DuPre ME, Roca GDL, Greenbaum S, *et al.* Substantial and rapid increase in soil health across crops with conversion from conventional to regenerative practices. *Sustainability.* 2024;16(13):5509. doi:10.3390/su16135509
16. Kong W, Liu L, Ishii S, Jia X, Su F, Song Y, *et al.* Contrasting response of soil microbiomes to long-term fertilization in various highland cropping systems. *ISME Commun.* 2023;3:286. doi:10.1038/s43705-023-00286-w
17. Van-Wehle T, Vital M. Investigating the response of the butyrate production potential to major fibers in dietary intervention studies. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes.* 2024;10:33. doi:10.1038/s41522-024-00533-5
18. Schmidt TM, Baxter NN, Waldron C, Schmidt AW, Venkataraman A, Kim K. Dynamics of human gut microbiota and short-chain fatty acids in response to dietary interventions with three fermentable fibers. *bioRxiv [Preprint].* 2018. doi:10.1101/487900
19. Baxter NN, Schmidt AW, Venkataraman A, Kim K, Waldron C, Schmidt TM. Dynamics of human gut microbiota and short-chain fatty acids in response to dietary interventions with three fermentable fibers. *mBio.* 2019;10(1):e02566-18. doi:10.1128/mBio.02566-18
20. Thomson CE, Garcia AL, Edwards CA. Interactions between dietary fibre and the gut microbiota. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2021;80(4):388-398. doi:10.1017/S0029665121002834
21. Tan J, Ribeiro RV, Barker C, Daien C, Silveira EDA, Holmes A, *et al.* Functional profiling of gut microbial and immune responses toward different types of dietary fiber: a step toward personalized dietary interventions. *Gut Microbes.* 2023;15(1):2274127. doi:10.1080/19490976.2023.2274127
22. Ratajczak W, Rył A, Mizerski A, Walczakiewicz K, Sipak O, Laszczyńska M. Immunomodulatory potential of gut microbiome-derived short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs). *Acta Biochim Pol.* 2019;66(1):1-12. doi:10.18388/abp.2018_2648
23. Yoo JY, Groer M, Dutra SVO, Sarkar A, McSkimming DI. Gut microbiota and immune system interactions. *Microorganisms.* 2020;8(10):1587. doi:10.3390/microorganisms8101587
24. Buruş A, Celtikci B, Aksoy Y. The inflammatory processes driven by gut microbiota. *Acta Med.* 2021;57(3):108-113. doi:10.32552/2021.actamedica.548
25. Duan H, Wang L, Huangfu M, Li HJ. The impact of microbiota-derived short-chain fatty acids on macrophage activities in disease: mechanisms and therapeutic potentials. *Biomed Pharmacother.* 2023;163:115276. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2023.115276
26. Song C, Song C, Zhu F, Carrión VJ, Cordovez V. Beyond plant microbiome composition: exploiting microbial functions and plant traits via integrated approaches. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol.* 2020;8:896. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2020.00896
27. Yañez F, Soler Z, Olierio M, Xie Z, Oyarzun I, Serrano-Gómez G, *et al.* Integrating dietary data into microbiome studies: a step forward for nutri-metaomics. *Nutrients.* 2021;13(9):2978. doi:10.3390/nu13092978
28. Kimotho RN, Maina S. Unraveling plant-microbe interactions using integrated omics approaches. *J Exp Bot.* 2023;74(22):6632-6647. doi:10.1093/jxb/erad448
29. Tangestani H, Emamat H, Ghalandari H, Shab-Bidar S. Whole grains, dietary fibers and the human gut microbiota: a systematic review of existing literature. *Recent Pat Food Nutr Agric.* 2020;11(1):3-15. doi:10.2174/2212798411666200316152252
30. Lachmansingh DA, Valderrama BP, Bastiaanssen TFS, Cryan JF, Clarke G, Lavelle A. Impact of dietary fiber on gut microbiota composition, function and gut-brain-modules in healthy adults - a systematic review protocol. *HRB Open Res.* 2024;7:13794. doi:10.12688/hrbopenres.13794.2
31. Sharma U, Sekhar MR, Hanny U, Jahirhussain G, Saurabh S. Enhancing health benefits in grain breeding wheat, barley, and oats for nutritional components. *J Plant Biotechnol.* 2022;1(2):140-148. doi:10.51470/jpb.2022.1.2.20
32. Choudhary S, Anbazhagan K, Kholová J, Tharanya M, Sivasakthi K, Chadawalada K, *et al.* Next-generation tools for nutrition-inclusive breeding for cereals. In: *Advances in Genetic Engineering for Crop Improvement.* IntechOpen; 2025. doi:10.5772/intechopen.1007002
33. Paul M, Thriveni V, Niharika M, Upadhyay DK, Kiro D, Verma A. A review on biofortification of crops: a nutritional strategy for combating malnutrition. *Eur J Nutr Food Saf.* 2024;16(11):1577-1590. doi:10.9734/ejnf/2024/v16i111577
34. Creedon A, Bernard H, Amati F, Segata N, Wallace SM, Arrè A, *et al.* A diverse high-fibre plant-based dietary intervention improves gut microbiome composition, gut symptoms, energy and hunger in healthy adults: a randomised controlled trial. *medRxiv [Preprint].* 2024 Jul 2. doi:10.1101/2024.07.02.24309816
35. Surolia R, Tyagi M, Singh A. A holistic approach: exploring pre, pro, syn, post and paraprobiotics in sustainable diets. In: *Probiotics and Prebiotics in Human Nutrition.* Springer; 2024. p. 203-228. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-47122-3_10